

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 GARRET A. THERRIAULT,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting
14 Commissioner of Social Security
15 Administration,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C17-0062-JCC

ORDER

16 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's unopposed motion for attorney fees
17 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) (Dkt. No. 25). Plaintiff's counsel requests \$13,000.00 for his
18 representation of Plaintiff in federal court. (*Id.* at 1.) For the foregoing reasons, the Court
19 GRANTS the motion.

20 **I. DISCUSSION**

21 Under § 406(b), a court entering judgment in favor of a social security disability
22 insurance claimant who was represented by an attorney "may determine and allow as part of its
23 judgment a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the
24 past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment." In determining
25 whether to grant a § 406(b) fee request, the court must first ensure that the requested fee is
26 consistent with the contract between the plaintiff and their attorney. *See Crawford v. Astrue*, 586

1 F.3d 1142, 1148 (9th Cir. 2009) (citing *Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 808–09 (2002)).
 2 The court must then test the requested fee for reasonableness. *Id.* (citing *Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at
 3 808). The court has broad discretion to decide if a fee request is reasonable or to adjust a fee
 4 downward if the request is unreasonable. *See Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 808.

5 Here, the request of Plaintiff’s attorney is appropriate under § 406(b). Plaintiff is entitled
 6 to past-due benefits totaling over \$62,000.00. (Dkt. No. 25 at 2.) Plaintiff’s attorney has
 7 requested an award of \$13,000.00 in attorney fees. (*Id.* at 1.) This figure is significantly lower
 8 than the statutory cap and the 25 percent that Plaintiff agreed to pay his attorney. (*See* Dkt. No.
 9 26-3 at 1.) And this effective hourly rate is similar to those approved by other courts in such
 10 actions, properly accounts for the risks involved in social security litigation, and is reasonable in
 11 light of the efficient and effective representation provided by Plaintiff’s attorney. *See Shubin v.*
 12 *Colvin*, 2015 WL 233243, slip op. at 2 & n.2 (C.D. Cal. 2015) (observing that courts often
 13 approve hourly fees in excess of \$1,000); *Hayes v. Sec. of Health and Human Servs.*, 923 F.2d
 14 418, 422 (6th Cir. 1990) (remarking on the fact that effective hourly rates in social security cases
 15 are higher than normal given then inherent risks in such cases). Accordingly, the Court
 16 AWARDS attorney fees totaling of \$13,000.00 to Plaintiff’s attorney pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
 17 § 406(b).

18 **II. CONCLUSION**

19 For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff’s motion for attorney fees (Dkt. No. 25) is
 20 GRANTED. Plaintiff’s attorney is AWARDED attorney fees totaling \$13,000.00. Defendant is
 21 DIRECTED to send a fee of \$13,000.00 to Plaintiff’s attorney at P.O. Box 31844, Seattle, WA
 22 98103, minus any applicable processing fees as allowed by statute. Plaintiff’s attorney is
 23 DIRECTED to reimburse Plaintiff the \$3,857.08 fee previously received pursuant to the Equal
 24 Access to Justice Act.

25 //

26 //

1 DATED this 30th day of June 2020.
2
3
4

5 
6

7 John C. Coughenour
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26